

LTCP CASE REVIEWS AND PATH-TO-CLOSURE PLANS REPORT: As of Sept. 1, 2015

UST case reviews against the UST Low-Threat Case Closure Policy (LTCP) and Path-To-Closure Plans (PTCP) are required to be completed annually. These data report the status of these reviews for Fiscal Year 2014-2015. All the data for the Regional Water Quality Control Boards, Local Oversight Programs, and the State Water Resources Control Board are compiled from GeoTracker. The data for the Division of Water Quality were compiled from internal tracking.

Regional Water Quality Control Boards - Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	115	14	1	2	0
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	315	6	2	1	0
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	104	5	4	6	2
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	764	3	57	12	1
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	141	0	3	0	0
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	59	2	1	2	0
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	336	2	2	1	0
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	57	3	1	15	9
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	66	0	0	2	1
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	159	2	15	7	0
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	75	3	0	2	2
TOTALS	2,191	40	86	50	15

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Low Threat Closure:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Cases Not Yet Reviewed for LTCP	Case Review for LTCP in Progress	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Not Met	Cases Where LTCP Criteria Met	Cases Closed After Meeting LTCP Criteria
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	147	0	0	6	2
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	48	0	0	1	0
NAPA COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	172	0	3	10	3
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	35	0	0	3	0
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	66	0	38	8	2
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	156	0	3	8	1
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	52	1	0	0	0
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	83	2	7	3	0
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	42	1	3	9	1
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	100	4	5	9	1
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	30	1	1	1	0
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	77	0	10	2	0
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0
TULARE COUNTY LOP	37	2	0	1	0
TOTALS	1,045	11	70	61	10

Regional Water Quality Control Boards – Path to Closure Plan

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required i	PTCP Not Startedⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress iii	PTCP Saved as Final^{iv}	Closure Expected in Next 6 Months^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 vi	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019^{vii}	Closure Expected after 12/31/2019 viii
NORTH COAST RWQCB (REGION 1)	123	1	5	117	26	25	29	63
SAN FRANCISCO BAY RWQCB (REGION 2)	297	3	5	289	165	161	94	34
CENTRAL COAST RWQCB (REGION 3)	111	0	1	110	69	55	49	6
LOS ANGELES RWQCB (REGION 4)	752	25	9	718	168	128	549	42
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5F)	130	0	3	127	9	9	65	53
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5R)	58	2	6	50	12	10	21	19
CENTRAL VALLEY RWQCB (REGION 5S)	332	2	9	321	48	43	159	119
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6T)	56	0	4	52	25	25	10	17
LAHONTAN RWQCB (REGION 6V)	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
COLORADO RIVER BASIN RWQCB (REGION 7)	65	3	1	61	26	26	15	20
SANTA ANA RWQCB (REGION 8)	175	2	4	169	60	56	72	41
SAN DIEGO RWQCB (REGION 9)	86	10	0	76	15	11	59	6
SWRCB-DWQ	4	0	0	4	1	0	4	0
UST CLEAN UP FUND	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TOTALS	2,191	50	47	2,094	624	549	1126	420

i PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “open” as of 8/17/2012

ii PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

iii PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

iv PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases who PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/4/2014

vi Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

vii Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

viii Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

Local Oversight Programs (LOP) – Path to Closure Plan:

ORGANIZATION NAME	PTCP Required ⁱ	PTCP Not Started ⁱⁱ	PTCP in Progress ⁱⁱⁱ	PTCP Saved as Final ^{iv}	Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months ^v	Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 ^{vi}	Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 ^{vii}	Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 ^{viii}
ALAMEDA COUNTY LOP	131	0	3	128	20	13	113	2
HUMBOLDT COUNTY LOP	43	0	1	42	23	21	19	2
NAPA COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ORANGE COUNTY LOP	172	0	11	161	38	33	123	5
RIVERSIDE COUNTY LOP	32	0	7	25	1	0	16	9
SACRAMENTO COUNTY LOP	102	0	12	90	68	64	17	9
SAN DIEGO COUNTY LOP	151	0	0	151	42	24	116	11
SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY LOP	40	0	1	39	14	14	21	4
SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SAN MATEO COUNTY LOP	78	0	1	77	19	11	63	3
SANTA BARBARA COUNTY LOP	44	0	1	43	5	5	38	0
SANTA CLARA COUNTY LOP	105	0	2	103	24	18	85	0
SANTA CRUZ COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
SOLANO COUNTY LOP	31	2	1	28	13	13	14	1
SONOMA COUNTY LOP	83	0	0	83	11	6	76	1
STANISLAUS COUNTY LOP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
TULARE COUNTY LOP	38	0	4	34	27	26	4	4
TOTALS	1,050	2	44	1,004	305	248	705	51

ⁱ PTCP Required – LUST program cases whose status was “OPEN” as of 8/17/2012

ⁱⁱ PTCP Not Started – LUST program cases whose PTCP has not yet been completed

ⁱⁱⁱ PTCP in Progress – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved in progress

^{iv} PTCP Saved as Final – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final

^v Closure Expected in the Next 6 Months – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 6/5/2014

^{vi} Closure Expected by 12/31/2015 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved by 12/31/2015

^{vii} Closure Expected Between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved between 1/1/2016 and 12/31/2019

^{viii} Closure Expected After 12/31/2019 – LUST program cases whose PTCP has been saved as final and whose impediments to closure are projected to be resolved after 12/31/2019

State Water Resources Control Board:

ORGANIZATION NAME	Closure Ordered by SWRCB ⁱ	Regulatory Agencies Plan to Close	Regulatory Agencies Closed
DFA – UST CLEANUP FUND	172	507	1,047

ORGANIZATION NAME	Active Petitions as of 8/17/12	New Petitions Since 8/17/12	Petitions w/60-day Closure Notice Posted After 8/17/2012	Abeyance	Petitions Withdrawn by Responsible Party After 8/17/2012	Petitions Dismissed by Executive Director After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by Lead Agency After 8/17/2012	Petitioned Cases Closed by the State Water Board After 8/17/2012 ⁱⁱ	Petitions Resolved ⁱⁱⁱ Since 8/17/2012	Active Petitions as of Reporting Date
DWQ – PETITIONS	70	27	1	1	6	17	32	33	88	8

ⁱ Closure activities must be completed within 6 months, and the Uniform Closure Letter issued within the following 2 months.

ⁱⁱ Petitions resolved equals the sum of petitions withdrawn by the responsibility party, petitions dismissed by executive director, petitioned cases closed by lead agency, and petitioned cases ordered closed by the Board.

ⁱⁱⁱ The Sum of Petitions Withdrawn by the Responsible Party, Petitions Dismissed by the Executive Director, Closed by the Lead Agency, and Closed by the State Water Board equals the Petitions Resolved.